

Angular Dependent Magnetoresistance Oscillation of a Quasi-Two-Dimensional System in a Periodic Potential

Daijiro YOSHIOKA

Institute of Physics, University of Tokyo, Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153, Japan

(BEDT-TTF)₂MHg(SCN)₄[M:K,Rb,Tl] shows typical two-dimensional angular dependent magnetoresistance oscillation (ADMRO) at high temperature ($T > 8\text{K}$), but at lower temperature it shows anomalously large magnetoresistance, and the ADMRO pattern changes. These low temperature behaviors are explained as effects of a periodic potential. The present explanation is different from that by Kartsovnik et al. [J. Phys. I France **3** (1993) 1187] in that reconstruction of the cylindrical Fermi surface into an open Fermi surface is not assumed. It is also predicted that if the periodic potential exists at quantizing magnetic field, resistivity peak of new origin should be observed.

Quasi-two-dimensional electron system is realized in organic conductors. In a tilted magnetic field cylindrical Fermi surface gives rise to the angular dependent magneto oscillation (ADMRO). [1–4] However, (BEDT-TTF)₂MHg(SCN)₄ [M:K,Rb,Tl] shows this kind of oscillation only at temperature higher than about $T_A \simeq 8\text{K}$. [5,6] At lower temperature the oscillation changes into that quite similar to that of a quasi-one-dimensional electron system. [7] It has also been pointed out that in the low temperature phase magnetoresistance becomes anomalously large. [8,9]

The change of the behavior has been attributed to a phase transition at T_A . This series of materials has two kinds of Fermi surfaces. [10] One is cylindrical, which causes the ADMRO, and the other is almost flat open Fermi surface. The latter Fermi surface causes SDW transition at T_A . [11–13] Kartsovnik [14] proposed that the new periodicity caused by the SDW induces reconstruction of the two dimensional Fermi surface into one-dimensional one; the new ADMRO comes from the one-dimensional Fermi surface thus formed.

Here, we will show that the experiment can be explained without assuming the formation of the one-dimensional Fermi surface. We only assume that in the low temperature phase there is a periodic potential by the SDW state. Namely we consider a quasi-two-dimensional system in both tilted magnetic field and the periodic potential by the SDW modulation.

When the magnetic field is applied to a quasi-2d system, the electron motion is restricted into a direction parallel to the field. Thus the system looks like one-dimensional. In this case the effective hopping amplitude parallel to the field depends on the direction of the magnetic field. The periodic modulation of the hopping amplitude as a function of the tilt angle is the origin of the ADMRO at high temperature phase where there is no SDW. We show that this one-dimensional system is further affected by the periodic potential in the quasi-two-dimensional plane. Namely the effect of the in-plane periodic potential is converted into a periodic potential

in the direction parallel to the tilted magnetic field, the period depending on the tilt angle. When the period is incommensurate with the lattice periodicity in the z -direction, the electron wave function is localized. This is the origin of the anomalously large magnetoresistance. On the other hand when the period of the potential is integer times that of the lattice, the wave function is extended. This brings on the ADMRO dips in the low temperature phase.

We consider a model layered system. The layers are parallel to the xy -plane and stacked in the z -direction. The motion in the xy -plane is free, except for the presence of the periodic potential due to the SDW. There is a weak hopping between adjacent layers with transfer integral t . Thus the Fermi surface is a cylinder periodically modulated in the z -direction, a typical situation where two-dimensional ADMRO is observed. A strong magnetic field is applied with angle θ from the z -axis towards the x -axis. In the xy -plane there is a uniaxial periodic potential. Thus our Hamiltonian is

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} [p_x^2 + (p_y - eB_z x + eB_x z)^2] + \frac{p_z^2}{2m_z} + V(z) + V_0 \cos(\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{r}). \quad (1)$$

Here $V(z) = \sum_j V_z(z - z_j)$ is the potential which confines electrons in each layer, and the last term is the periodic potential.

In our model the effect of the periodic crystal lattice in the xy -plane is represented by effective mass in the xy -direction. In general the effective mass can be anisotropic. However, such anisotropy could easily be taken into account by scale transformation in the xy -plane. In such a transformation the direction of the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{Q}$ changes. The direction of $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{Q}$ we consider here is those after the scale transformation. The original direction can be calculated easily. Thus assumption of the isotropic effective mass in the xy -plane causes no loss in the general applicability of the present theory.

In this model we fix the magnetic field in the

xz -plane, and consider the direction of $\mathbf{Q}$ arbitrary: $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_x, Q_y, Q_z) = (Q_\perp \cos\phi, Q_\perp \sin\phi, Q_z)$. The z -component of the periodic potential Q_z represents relative shift of the planar periodic potential between the layers.¹

Now we try to get eigenstates of this Hamiltonian. When we neglect inter-layer hopping and the periodic potential, the wave function in the j -th layer is given as follows:

$$\Phi_{n,X_j,j}(\mathbf{r}) = C_n \exp[ik_y y - \frac{1}{2\ell^2}(x - X_j)^2] \times H_n(\frac{x - X_j}{\ell}) f_0(z - z_j), \quad (2)$$

where n is the Landau quantum number,

$$X_j = k_y \ell^2 + (B_x/B_z)z_j, \quad (3)$$

is the center coordinate, $z_j = cj$ is the z -coordinate of the j -th layer, c being the lattice constant, $\ell = \sqrt{\hbar/(eB_z)}$, H_n is the Hermite polynomial, and C_n is the normalization constant. In the presence of the lateral component B_x the center coordinate, eq. (3), depends both on k_y and z_j . This dependence plays an essential role in our theory. The function $f_0(z)$ is the normalized wave function in the z -direction for an isolated plane:

$$[\frac{1}{2m_z}p_z^2 + V_z(z)]f_0(z) = E_0 f_0(z). \quad (4)$$

The transfer integral t at $B = 0$ is given by this function as

$$t = \int dz f_0^*(z + jc)[V(z) - V_z(z)]f_0(z). \quad (5)$$

In the presence of the periodic potential and the tilted field we cannot get exact wave functions. Thus we consider these effects perturbationally. As an unperturbed state we choose

$$\Psi_{n,k_y,k_z}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_z}} \sum_j \exp[ik_z z_j] \Phi_{n,X_j,j}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (6)$$

where N_z is the number of layers. In the absence of the periodic potential the momenta k_y and k_z are good quantum numbers, and the matrix element of the Hamiltonian is given by

$$\langle \Psi_{n,k_y,k_z} | H | \Psi_{n',k_y,k_z} \rangle = [(n + \frac{1}{2})\hbar\omega_c + E_0]\delta_{n,n'} + \sum_{\tau=\pm 1} \tilde{t}_{\tau,n,n'} \exp[i\tau k_z c], \quad (7)$$

where $\tilde{t}_{\tau,n,n'}$ is an effective hopping amplitude,

$$\tilde{t}_{\tau,n,n'} = t(\frac{n'}{n!})^{1/2} \exp[-\frac{1}{4}(\frac{B_x c}{B_z \ell})^2] \times (-\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{B_x c}{B_z \ell})^{n'-n} L_n^{n-n'}(\frac{1}{2} \frac{B_x^2 c^2}{B_z^2 \ell^2}). \quad (8)$$

Here $n \geq n'$ is assumed without loss of generality, and $L_n^m(x)$ is the Laguerre function. In the experimental situation of $n \simeq n' \gg 1$, this effective hopping integral $\tilde{t}_{j,n,n'}$ can be approximated as

$$\tilde{t}_{\tau,n,n'} \simeq t(-\tau)^{n-n'} (\frac{2}{\pi k_F \tan\theta})^{1/2} \times \cos[k_F \tan\theta - \frac{n'-n}{2}\pi - \frac{\pi}{4}], \quad (9)$$

where k_F is the two-dimensional Fermi momentum. Therefore $\tilde{t}_{j,n,n}$ vanishes periodically with respect to $\tan\theta$. This gives peaks in the z -axis resistivity. Essentially similar calculation has been done, [15,16] and the results coincide with semiclassical treatment of the ADMRO. [3,4] In this quantum mechanical treatment the oscillation is a consequence of interference of wave functions when an electron hops between layers: Conservation of k_y means shift of center coordinate as a course of hopping. Therefore integration with respect to x of product of oscillating Hermite polynomials causes interference. Equation (7) shows that the system looks like a one-dimensional system with electron motion parallel to the direction of the magnetic field.

On the other hand, once the periodic potential $V_0 \cos(\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{r})$ is turned on, mixing of the wave numbers k_y and k_z occurs: The matrix element of the periodic potential is given as

$$\langle \Psi_{n,k_y,k_z} | V_0 \cos(Qx) | \Psi_{n',k_y',k_z'} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\tau=\pm} V_{n,n',k_y,k_z}^{\text{eff}}(\tau Q_x, \tau Q_y) \delta_{k_y,k_y'+\tau Q_y} \delta_{k_z,k_z'+\tau(Q_z+Q_x B_x/B_z)}, \quad (10)$$

where

$$V_{n,n',k_y,k_z}^{\text{eff}}(Q_x, Q_y) = \sqrt{\frac{n!}{n'}} [\frac{i\ell}{\sqrt{2}}(Q_x + iQ_y)]^{n-n'} \times \exp[-\frac{1}{4}Q_\perp^2 \ell^2 + iQ_x(k_y - \frac{Q_y}{2})\ell^2] L_{n'-n'}^{n-n'}[\frac{1}{2}Q_\perp^2 \ell^2], \quad (11)$$

$$\propto \cos[\sqrt{2n}Q_\perp \ell - \frac{n'-n}{2}\pi - \frac{\pi}{4}],$$

¹Experimentally, on the other hand, the direction of the periodic potential is fixed relative to the crystal axis, and the direction of the magnetic field is arbitrary. However, isotropy in the xy -plane guarantees that the present choice is general enough.

again for the case of $n \geq n'$, and the last line is for the semiclassical case of $n \simeq n' \gg 1$. Selection rule for k_z means that there is an effective periodic potential in the z -direction, whose wave number is given by $Q_z + (B_x/B_z)Q_x = Q_z + \tan\theta\cos\phi Q_\perp$ and strength proportional to $L_n(Q_\perp^2 \ell^2/2)$. It should be noted that the period depends on the tilt angle and the strength depends on that of the magnetic field. This mixing of k_z can be understood as follows. An electron in the j -th layer at center coordinate X feels the potential around X averaged over ℓ . When it hops between layers, the momentum k_y is conserved, thus the center coordinate shifts by $(B_x/B_z)c$. Namely as an electron hops between layers, the local potential that electron feels changes. This gives rise to the periodic potential in the hopping direction, which is parallel to the magnetic field.

Double periodicity in the z -direction tends to increase the z -axis resistivity. This explains the anomalously large magnetoresistance. Two-dimensional ADMRO is buried in this increase of the resistance. However, such effect vanishes when the condition

$$Q_z + \tan\theta\cos\phi Q_\perp = \frac{2\pi n}{c}, \quad (12)$$

is satisfied with n being an integer. Namely dips in the resistivity are expected when θ and ϕ satisfies this condition. It reproduces the experimentally observed locations of dips, [14,17–19]

$$\tan\theta\sin(\phi - \phi_0) \simeq 0.5 + 1.25n, \quad (13)$$

if we assume $Q_\perp \simeq 0.25\text{\AA}^{-1}$, $Q_z/Q_\perp \simeq -0.5$, and the direction of $\mathbf{Q}$ to be $\phi_0 \simeq 30^\circ$ from the c^* axis in the a - c^* plane.² This size and direction of $\mathbf{Q}$ is essentially the same as the proposal by Kartsovnik et al. [14] Thus the present theory explains the experiments without assuming formation of an open Fermi surface out of the cylindrical one in the low temperature phase.³

Our theory is better than the previous one [14] in several points: (1) Since in our theory the two dimensional Landau subband remains as it is, it is consistent with the observation of the Schubnikov-de Haas oscillation in this phase. [8,12,20] (2) Reconstruction of the Fermi surface depends on delicate balance between the size of the Fermi surface and direction of the modulation potential, so for K-salt such reconstruction may not be possible. [18] (3) Explanation by the open Fermi surface requires unphysical long-ranged transfer integral between chains ($t_{m,1}$ in eq.(3) of ref. [7]). [17]

²In this material a - c^* -plane is the 2-d xy -plane, and b^* -axis is our z -axis.

³The strength of the periodic potential oscillates with $\mathbf{B}$: $V^{\text{eff}} \propto \cos[\sqrt{2n}Q_\perp\ell]$. For $Q_\perp \simeq 0.25\text{\AA}^{-1}$ this oscillation is too rapid to be observed.

Finally we predict possible appearance of resistance peaks in higher magnetic field. In the magnetic field range ADMRO has been observed, there are a lot of Landau subbands crossing the Fermi level. However, at higher magnetic field only a few subbands exists. In this case if $Q_z + \tan\theta\cos\phi Q_\perp$ coincides with $2k_F$ of one of the subbands, energy gap is formed at E_F , thus resistivity becomes larger. Since $2k_F$ depends on the strength of the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$, the peak position should depends both on the strength and direction of the field. Unfortunately, the SDW of (BEDT-TTF)₂MHg(SCN)₄ system is destroyed at higher magnetic field, so it is hard to observe this peak in this material. However, we hope observation of the peak in other materials in near future.

This work is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas “Novel Electronic States in Molecular Conductors” (Grant No. 06243103) from Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

-
- [1] M.V. Kartsovnik, P.A. Kononovich, V.N. Laukhin, and I.F. Schchegolev, JETP Lett., **48** (1988) 541.
 - [2] K. Kajita, Y. Nishio, T. Takahashi, W. Sasaki, R. Kato, H. Kobayashi, A. Kobayashi, and Y. Iye, Solid State Commu., **70** 1(1989) 189.
 - [3] K. Yamaji J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **58** (1989) 1520.
 - [4] R. Yagi, Y. Iye, T. Osada, and S. Kagoshima, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **59** (1990) 3069.
 - [5] S. Kagoshima, T. Osada, A. Kawasumi, T. Yagi, N. Miura, M. Oshima, H. Mori, T. Nakamura, and G. Saito, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. Series 7, *Mechanism of Superconductivity*, (1992) 381.
 - [6] M.V. Kartsovnik, A.E. Kovalev, V.N. Aaukhin, and S.I. Pesotskii: J. Phys. I France **2** (1992) 223.
 - [7] T. Osada, S. Kagoshima, and N. Miura, Phys. Rev. B **46** (1992) 1812.
 - [8] T. Osada, R. Yagi, A. Kawasumi, S. Kagoshima, N. Miura, and M. Oshima, and G. Saito: Phys. Rev. B **41** (1990) 5428.
 - [9] T. Sasaki, N. Toyota, M. Tokumoto, N. Kinoshita, and H. Anzai: Solid State Comm. **75** (1990) 93.
 - [10] H. Mori, S. Tanaka, M. Oshima, G. Saito, T. Mori, Y. Maruyama and H. Inokuchi: Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. **63** (1990) 2183.
 - [11] T. Sasaki, H. Sato, and N. Toyota: Synth. Met. **41-43** (1991) 2211.

- [12] T. Sasaki and N. Toyota: Solid State Comm. **75** (1992) 447.
- [13] J.S. Brooks, C.C. Agosta, S.J. Klepper, M. Tokumoto, N. Kinoshita, H. Anzai, S. Uji, H. Aoki, A.S. Perel, G.J. Athas, and D.A. Howe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992) 156.
- [14] M.V. Kartsovnik, A.E. Kovalev, and N.D. Kushch, J. Phys. I France, **3** (1993) 1187.
- [15] T. Osada, R. Yagi, S. Kagoshima, N. Miura M. Oshima, and G. Saito: *The Physics and Chemistry of Organic Superconductors* ed. G. Saito and S. Kagoshima p220 (Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg 1990).
- [16] Y. Kurihara, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **61** (1992) 975.
- [17] Y. Iye, R. Yagi, N. Hanasaki, S. Kagoshima, H. Mori, H. Fujimoto, and G. Saito, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **63** (1994) 674.
- [18] A.E. Kovalev, M.V. Kartsovnik, R.P. Shibaeva, L.P. Rozenberg, I.F. Schegolev, and N.D. Kushch: Solid State Commun. **89** (1994) 575.
- [19] T. Sasaki and N. Toyota: Phys. Rev. B**49** (1994) 10120.
- [20] S. Uji, H. Aoki, M. Tokumoto, T. Kinoshita, N. Kinoshita, Y. Tanaka, and H. Anzai: Phys. Rev. B**49** (1994) 732.